

University of New Brunswick
Faculty of Computer Science
CS1303: Discrete Structures
Homework Assignment 4, Due Time, Date 11:59 PM, March 9, 2021

Student Name: _____ Matriculation Number: _____

Instructor: Rongxing Lu

The marking scheme is shown in the left margin and [100] constitutes full marks.

- [10] 1. Write a negation for the given statement, and use a counterexample to disprove the given statement. Explain how the counterexample actually shows that the given statement is false.
- (a) For all real numbers a and b , if $a < b$ then $a^2 < b^2$.
 - (b) For every integer n , if n is odd then $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is odd.
- [15] 2. Disprove each of the following statements by giving a counterexample. In each case explain how the counterexample actually disproves the statement.
- (a) For all integers m and n , if $2m + n$ is odd then m and n are both odd.
 - (b) For every integer p , if p is prime then $p^2 - 1$ is even.
 - (c) For every integer n , if n is even then $n^2 + 1$ is prime.
- [20] 3. Determine which of the following statements are true and which are false. Prove each true statement directly from the definitions, and give a counterexample for each false statement.
- (a) The product of any two rational numbers is a rational number.
 - (b) The quotient of any two rational numbers is a rational number.
 - (c) The difference of any two rational numbers is a rational number.
 - (d) If r and s are any two rational numbers, then $\frac{r+s}{2}$ is rational.
- [25] 4. Determine whether the statement is true or false. Prove the statement directly from the definitions if it is true, and give a counterexample if it is false.
- (a) The sum of any three consecutive integers is divisible by 3.
 - (b) The product of any two even integers is a multiple of 4.
 - (c) For all integers a , b , and c , if $a|b$ and $a|c$ then $a|(2b - 3c)$.
 - (d) For all integers a , b , and c , if $ab|c$ then $a|c$ and $b|c$.
 - (e) For all integers a , b , and c , if $a|(b + c)$ then $a|b$ or $a|c$.
- [30] 5. Prove each of the following statements by contradiction. In addition, for (e) and (f), please also prove them by contraposition.
- (a) For all odd integers a and b , $b^2 - a^2 \neq 4$.

- (b) For all prime numbers a , b , and c , $a^2 + b^2 \neq c^2$.
- (c) If a and b are rational numbers, $b \neq 0$, and r is an irrational number, then $a + br$ is irrational.
- (d) For any integer n , $n^2 - 2$ is not divisible by 4.
- (e) The negative of any irrational number is irrational.
- (f) For every integer n , if n^2 is odd then n is odd.

Solutions.

- [10] 1. Write a negation for the given statement, and use a counterexample to disprove the given statement. Explain how the counterexample actually shows that the given statement is false.

- (a) For all real numbers a and b , if $a < b$ then $a^2 < b^2$.

✓

$$\forall a, b \in R, (a < b) \rightarrow (a^2 < b^2)$$

Negation

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(\forall a, b \in R, (a < b) \rightarrow (a^2 < b^2)) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b \in R, \neg((a < b) \rightarrow (a^2 < b^2)) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b \in R, \neg(\neg(a < b) \vee (a^2 < b^2)) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b \in R, \neg\neg(a < b) \wedge \neg(a^2 < b^2) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b \in R, (a < b) \wedge (a^2 \geq b^2) \end{aligned}$$

Counterexample. When $a = -5$, $b = 2$, we have $a < b$, but $a^2 = 25 > b^2 = 4$.

Because of the counterexample, we can disprove $\forall a, b \in R, (a < b) \rightarrow (a^2 < b^2)$.

- (b) For every integer n , if n is odd then $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is odd.

✓

$$\forall n \in Z, Odd(n) \rightarrow Odd(\frac{n-1}{2})$$

Negation

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(\forall n \in Z, Odd(n) \rightarrow Odd(\frac{n-1}{2})) \\ \equiv & \exists n \in Z, \neg(Odd(n) \rightarrow Odd(\frac{n-1}{2})) \\ \equiv & \exists n \in Z, \neg(\neg Odd(n) \vee Odd(\frac{n-1}{2})) \\ \equiv & \exists n \in Z, \neg\neg Odd(n) \wedge \neg Odd(\frac{n-1}{2}) \\ \equiv & \exists n \in Z, Odd(n) \wedge Even(\frac{n-1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Counterexample. When $n = 5$, $\frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{5-1}{2} = 2$, we have $Odd(n) = Odd(5)$ and $Even(\frac{n-1}{2}) = Even(2)$.

Because of the counterexample, we can disprove $\forall n \in Z, Odd(n) \rightarrow Odd(\frac{n-1}{2})$.

- [15] 2. Disprove each of the following statements by giving a counterexample. In each case explain how the counterexample actually disproves the statement.

- (a) For all integers m and n , if $2m + n$ is odd then m and n are both odd.

✓

$$\forall m, n \in Z, Odd(2m + n) \rightarrow Odd(m) \wedge Odd(n)$$

Negation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \neg(\forall m, n \in Z, \text{Odd}(2m + n) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(m) \wedge \text{Odd}(n)) \\
\equiv & \exists m, n \in Z, \neg(\text{Odd}(2m + n) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(m) \wedge \text{Odd}(n)) \\
\equiv & \exists m, n \in Z, \neg(\neg\text{Odd}(2m + n) \vee (\text{Odd}(m) \wedge \text{Odd}(n))) \\
\equiv & \exists m, n \in Z, \neg\neg\text{Odd}(2m + n) \wedge \neg(\text{Odd}(m) \wedge \text{Odd}(n)) \\
\equiv & \exists m, n \in Z, \text{Odd}(2m + n) \wedge \neg(\text{Odd}(m) \wedge \text{Odd}(n)) \\
\equiv & \exists m, n \in Z, \text{Odd}(2m + n) \wedge (\neg\text{Odd}(m) \vee \neg\text{Odd}(n)) \\
\equiv & \exists m, n \in Z, \text{Odd}(2m + n) \wedge (\text{Even}(m) \vee \text{Even}(n))
\end{aligned}$$

Counterexample. When $m = 2, n = 1$, we have $\text{Odd}(2m + n) = \text{Odd}(2 * 2 + 1) = \text{Odd}(5)$ and $\text{Even}(m) \vee \text{Even}(n) = \text{Even}(2) \vee \text{Even}(1)$ is true.

Because of the counterexample, we can disprove $\forall m, n \in Z, \text{Odd}(2m + n) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(m) \wedge \text{Odd}(n)$.

- (b) For every integer p , if p is prime then $p^2 - 1$ is even.

✓

$$\forall p \in Z, \text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Even}(p^2 - 1)$$

Negation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \neg(\forall p \in Z, \text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Even}(p^2 - 1)) \\
\equiv & \exists p \in Z, \neg(\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Even}(p^2 - 1)) \\
\equiv & \exists p \in Z, \neg(\neg\text{Prime}(p) \vee \text{Even}(p^2 - 1)) \\
\equiv & \exists p \in Z, \neg\neg\text{Prime}(p) \wedge \neg\text{Even}(p^2 - 1) \\
\equiv & \exists p \in Z, \text{Prime}(p) \wedge \text{Odd}(p^2 - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Counterexample. When $p = 2$, we have $\text{Prime}(p) = \text{Prime}(2)$ and $\text{Odd}(p^2 - 1) = \text{Odd}(2^2 - 1) = \text{Odd}(3)$ is true.

Because of the counterexample, we can disprove $\forall p \in Z, \text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Even}(p^2 - 1)$.

- (c) For every integer n , if n is even then $n^2 + 1$ is prime.

✓

$$\forall n \in Z, \text{Even}(n) \rightarrow \text{Prime}(n^2 + 1)$$

Negation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \neg(\forall n \in Z, \text{Even}(n) \rightarrow \text{Prime}(n^2 + 1)) \\
\equiv & \neg(\forall n \in Z, \text{Even}(n) \rightarrow \text{Prime}(n^2 + 1)) \\
\equiv & \exists n \in Z, \neg(\text{Even}(n) \rightarrow \text{Prime}(n^2 + 1)) \\
\equiv & \exists n \in Z, \neg(\neg\text{Even}(n) \vee \text{Prime}(n^2 + 1)) \\
\equiv & \exists n \in Z, \neg\neg\text{Even}(n) \wedge \neg\text{Prime}(n^2 + 1) \\
\equiv & \exists n \in Z, \text{Even}(n) \wedge \neg\text{Prime}(n^2 + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Counterexample. When $n = 0$, we have $\text{Even}(n) = \text{Even}(0)$ and $\neg\text{Prime}(n^2 + 1) = \neg\text{Prime}(0^2 + 1) = \neg\text{Prime}(1)$ is true.

Because of the counterexample, we can disprove $\forall n \in Z, \text{Even}(n) \rightarrow \text{Prime}(n^2 + 1)$.

- [20] 3. Determine which of the following statements are true and which are false. Prove each true statement directly from the definitions, and give a counterexample for each false statement.

- (a) The product of any two rational numbers is a rational number.

✓

Define $Q(x)$ as x is a rational number

$$\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y) \rightarrow Q(x \cdot y)$$

Proof. As $\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y)$, based on the definition of rational, we have

$$x = \frac{a}{b}, \text{ where } a, b \in Z, \text{ and } b \neq 0$$

$$y = \frac{c}{d}, \text{ where } c, d \in Z, \text{ and } d \neq 0$$

Then,

$$x \cdot y = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a \cdot c}{b \cdot d}$$

Since the multiplication is closed in integer, $a, c \in Z$, we have $a \cdot c \in Z$; $b, d \in Z$, we have $b \cdot d \in Z$;

$b \neq 0, d \neq 0$, we have $b \cdot d \neq 0$ from the zero product property.

Therefore, based on the definition of rational, we have

$$\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y) \rightarrow Q(x \cdot y)$$

That is, the statement is true.

- (b) The quotient of any two rational numbers is a rational number.

✓

Define $Q(x)$ as x is a rational number

$$\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y) \rightarrow Q\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

Proof. As $\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y)$, based on the definition of rational, we have

$$x = \frac{a}{b}, \text{ where } a, b \in Z, \text{ and } b \neq 0$$

$$y = \frac{c}{d}, \text{ where } c, d \in Z, \text{ and } d \neq 0$$

Then,

$$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{\frac{a}{b}}{\frac{c}{d}} = \frac{a \cdot d}{b \cdot c}$$

Since the multiplication is closed in integer, $a, d \in Z$, we have $a \cdot d \in Z$; $b, c \in Z$, we have $b \cdot c \in Z$;

However, when $c = 0$, we will have $b \cdot c = 0$.

Counterexample. $a = 2, b = 1, x = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{2}{1} = 2$; $c = 0, d = 1, y = \frac{c}{d} = \frac{0}{1} = 0$. Then, $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{2}{0}$ is not a rational number.

Therefore, we disprove

$$\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y) \rightarrow Q\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

That is, the statement is false.

- (c) The difference of any two rational numbers is a rational number.

✓

Define $Q(x)$ as x is a rational number

$$\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y) \rightarrow Q(x - y)$$

Proof. As $\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y)$, based on the definition of rational, we have

$$x = \frac{a}{b}, \text{ where } a, b \in Z, \text{ and } b \neq 0$$

$$y = \frac{c}{d}, \text{ where } c, d \in Z, \text{ and } d \neq 0$$

Then,

$$x - y = \frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a \cdot d - c \cdot b}{b \cdot d}$$

Since the multiplication and subtraction are closed in integer, $a, b, c, d \in Z$, we have $a \cdot d - c \cdot b \in Z$; $b, d \in Z$, we have $b \cdot d \in Z$;

$b \neq 0, d \neq 0$, we have $b \cdot d \neq 0$ from the zero product property.

Therefore, based on the definition of rational, we have

$$\forall x, y \in R, Q(x) \wedge Q(y) \rightarrow Q(x - y)$$

That is, the statement is true.

- (d) If r and s are any two rational numbers, then $\frac{r+s}{2}$ is rational.

✓

Define $Q(x)$ as x is a rational number

$$\forall r, s \in R, Q(r) \wedge Q(s) \rightarrow Q\left(\frac{r+s}{2}\right)$$

Proof. As $\forall r, s \in R, Q(r) \wedge Q(s)$, based on the definition of rational, we have

$$r = \frac{a}{b}, \text{ where } a, b \in Z, \text{ and } b \neq 0$$

$$s = \frac{c}{d}, \text{ where } c, d \in Z, \text{ and } d \neq 0$$

Then,

$$\frac{r+s}{2} = \frac{\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}}{2} = \frac{a \cdot d + c \cdot b}{2b \cdot d}$$

Since the multiplication and addition are closed in integer, $a, b, c, d \in Z$, we have $a \cdot d + c \cdot b \in Z$; $b, d \in Z$, we have $2b \cdot d \in Z$;

$b \neq 0, d \neq 0$, we have $2b \cdot d \neq 0$ from the zero product property.

Therefore, based on the definition of rational, we have

$$\forall r, s \in R, Q(r) \wedge Q(s) \rightarrow Q\left(\frac{r+s}{2}\right)$$

That is, the statement is true.

[25] 4. Determine whether the statement is true or false. Prove the statement directly from the definitions if it is true, and give a counterexample if it is false.

(a) The sum of any three consecutive integers is divisible by 3.

✓

Denote $a|b$ as b can be divided by a for $a, b \in Z$, i.e., $b = a \cdot k$ for some $k \in Z$.

$$\forall x \in Z, 3|(x + (x + 1) + (x + 2))$$

Proof. $\forall x \in Z$, three consecutive integers can be written as x , $x + 1$, and $x + 2$. Then, their sum

$$s = x + (x + 1) + (x + 2) = 3x + 3 = 3(x + 1)$$

Based on the definition of $a|b$, we have $3|s$. As a result, we prove

$$\forall x \in Z, 3|(x + (x + 1) + (x + 2))$$

That is, the sum of any three consecutive integers is divisible by 3.

(b) The product of any two even integers is a multiple of 4.

✓

$$\forall x, y \in Z, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Even}(y) \rightarrow \exists m \in Z, x \cdot y = 4 \cdot m$$

Prof. As $\forall x, y \in Z, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Even}(y)$, based on the definition of even, we have $x = 2k$ for some $k \in Z$, and $y = 2q$ for some $q \in Z$. Then,

$$x \cdot y = 2k \cdot 2q = 4k \cdot q$$

Set $m = k \cdot q$, as $k, q \in Z$, we have $m = k \cdot q \in Z$. Then, we have $x \cdot y = 4 \cdot m$. As a result, we prove

$$\forall x, y \in Z, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Even}(y) \rightarrow \exists m \in Z, x \cdot y = 4 \cdot m$$

That is, the product of any two even integers is a multiple of 4.

(c) For all integers a , b , and c , if $a|b$ and $a|c$ then $a|(2b - 3c)$.

✓

Denote $a|b$ as b can be divided by a for $a, b \in Z$, i.e., $b = a \cdot k$ for some $k \in Z$.

$$\forall a, b, c \in Z, (a|b) \wedge (a|c) \rightarrow a|(2b - 3c)$$

Proof. For all integers a , b , and c , based on the definition of $a|b$ and $a|c$, we have $b = a \cdot k$ for some $k \in Z$, $c = a \cdot q$ for some $q \in Z$.

From $b = a \cdot k$, we have $2b = a \cdot 2k$.

From $c = a \cdot q$, we have $-3c = a \cdot (-3)q$. Then,

$$2b - 3c = a \cdot 2k + a \cdot (-3q) = a \cdot (2k - 3q)$$

Let $m = 2k - 3q$, as $k, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, as the multiplication and subtract are close in integer, $m = 2k - 3q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Based on the definition of $a|b$, we prove

$$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, (a|b) \wedge (a|c) \rightarrow a|(2b - 3c)$$

That is, for all integers a, b , and c , if $a|b$ and $a|c$ then $a|(2b - 3c)$.

- (d) For all integers a, b , and c , if $ab|c$ then $a|c$ and $b|c$.

✓

Denote $a|b$ as b can be divided by a for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $b = a \cdot k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, ab|c \rightarrow (a|c) \wedge (b|c)$$

Proof. for $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, from the definition of $ab|c$, we know

$$c = ab \cdot k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $k_1 = b \cdot k$, we know $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we have $c = a \cdot k_1$, and thus we have $a|c$.

Let $k_2 = a \cdot k$, we know $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we have $c = b \cdot k_2$, and thus we have $b|c$.

By combining them, we have

$$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, ab|c \rightarrow (a|c) \wedge (b|c)$$

Therefore, for all integers a, b , and c , if $ab|c$ then $a|c$ and $b|c$.

- (e) For all integers a, b , and c , if $a|(b + c)$ then $a|b$ or $a|c$.

✓

Denote $a|b$ as b can be divided by a for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $b = a \cdot k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a|(b + c) \rightarrow (a|b) \vee (a|c)$$

The negation is

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a|(b + c) \rightarrow (a|b) \vee (a|c)) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \neg(a|(b + c) \rightarrow (a|b) \vee (a|c)) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \neg(\neg(a|(b + c)) \vee ((a|b) \vee (a|c))) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \neg\neg(a|(b + c)) \wedge \neg((a|b) \vee (a|c)) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, (a|(b + c)) \wedge \neg((a|b) \vee (a|c)) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, (a|(b + c)) \wedge (\neg(a|b) \wedge \neg(a|c)) \\ \equiv & \exists a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a|(b + c) \wedge a \nmid b \wedge a \nmid c \end{aligned}$$

Counterexample. When $a = 10, b = 4, c = 6$, we have $a|(b + c)$, $a \nmid b$, and $a \nmid c$. Therefore, this counterexample disprove

$$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a|(b + c) \rightarrow (a|b) \vee (a|c)$$

That is, the statement “For all integers a, b , and c , if $a|(b + c)$ then $a|b$ or $a|c$ ” is false.

- [30] 5. Prove each of the following statements by contradiction. In addition, for (e) and (f), please also prove them by contraposition.

- (a) For all odd integers a and b , $b^2 - a^2 \neq 4$.

✓

$$P : \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{Odd}(a) \wedge \text{Odd}(b) \rightarrow (b^2 - a^2 \neq 4)$$

Negation:

$$\neg P : \quad \exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{Odd}(a) \wedge \text{Odd}(b) \wedge (b^2 - a^2 = 4)$$

Proof by contradiction.

Suppose $\neg P$ is true. From $b^2 - a^2 = 4$, we know $(b + a)(b - a) = 4$.

Since $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x = b + a$, $y = b - a$ are also integers. Then, there are only 6 cases that $x \cdot y = 4$.

| | x | y | $x \cdot y = 4$ |
|--------|-----|-----|-----------------|
| case 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| case 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| case 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| case 4 | -1 | -4 | 4 |
| case 5 | -4 | -1 | 4 |
| case 6 | -2 | -2 | 4 |

- Case 1: $b + a = 4, b - a = 1$. In this case, we have $2b = 5 \Rightarrow b = \frac{5}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, which of course means $\neg \text{Odd}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Odd}(b) \wedge \text{Odd}(b) = \mathbf{c}$
- Case 2: $b + a = 1, b - a = 4$. In this case, we have $2b = 5 \Rightarrow b = \frac{5}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, which of course means $\neg \text{Odd}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Odd}(b) \wedge \text{Odd}(b) = \mathbf{c}$
- Case 3: $b + a = 2, b - a = 2$. In this case, we have $b = 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means $\text{Even}(b) = \neg \text{Odd}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Odd}(b) \wedge \text{Odd}(b) = \mathbf{c}$.
- Case 4: $b + a = -4, b - a = -1$. In this case, we have $2b = -5 \Rightarrow b = \frac{-5}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, which of course means $\neg \text{Odd}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Odd}(b) \wedge \text{Odd}(b) = \mathbf{c}$
- Case 5: $b + a = -1, b - a = -4$. In this case, we have $2b = -5 \Rightarrow b = \frac{-5}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, which of course means $\neg \text{Odd}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Odd}(b) \wedge \text{Odd}(b) = \mathbf{c}$
- Case 6: $b + a = -2, b - a = -2$. In this case, we have $b = -2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means $\text{Even}(b) = \neg \text{Odd}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Odd}(b) \wedge \text{Odd}(b) = \mathbf{c}$.

Therefore, the supposition “ $\neg P$ is true” is false, and P is true. That is, for all odd integers a and b , $b^2 - a^2 \neq 4$.

- (b) For all prime numbers a , b , and c , $a^2 + b^2 \neq c^2$.

✓

$$P : \quad \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{Prime}(a) \wedge \text{Prime}(b) \wedge \text{Prime}(c) \rightarrow (a^2 + b^2 \neq c^2)$$

Negation

$$\neg P : \quad \exists a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{Prime}(a) \wedge \text{Prime}(b) \wedge \text{Prime}(c) \wedge (a^2 + b^2 = c^2)$$

Proof by contradiction.

Suppose $\neg P$ is true. From $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, we know $a^2 = c^2 - b^2$, i.e., $a^2 = (c - b) \cdot (c + b)$.

Because $\text{Prime}(a) \wedge \text{Prime}(b) \wedge \text{Prime}(c)$ and the smallest prime number is 2, then, $a \geq 2, b \geq 2, c \geq 2$, and it is easy to see $a^2 \geq 4, c + b \geq 4$. Then, from $c - b = \frac{a^2}{c+b}$, we know $c - b$ should also be a positive integer.

Let $x = c + b, y = c - b$, we have $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, since $\text{Prime}(a)$, there are three cases for $a^2 = x \cdot y$.

| | x | y | $a^2 = x \cdot y$ |
|--------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| case 1 | a^2 | 1 | a^2 |
| case 2 | 1 | a^2 | a^2 |
| case 3 | a | a | a^2 |

- Case 1: $c + b = a^2, c - b = 1$. In this case, we have $2b = (a^2 - 1) \Rightarrow b = \frac{a^2 - 1}{2}$.
 - 1.1: if the prime $a = 2, b = \frac{a^2 - 1}{2} = \frac{3}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, which of course shows $\neg \text{Prime}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Prime}(b) \wedge \text{Prime}(b) = \mathbf{c}$
 - 1.2: if the prime $a > 2, a$ is odd, i.e., $a = 2k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k > 1$. Then, $b = \frac{a^2 - 1}{2} = \frac{(2k+1)^2 - 1}{2} = \frac{4k^2 + 4k + 1 - 1}{2} = \frac{4k^2 + 4k}{2} = 2k^2 + 2k$. Let $m = k^2 + k$, we know $m > 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus from $b = 2m$, we know $\text{Even}(b) \wedge (b > 4)$. From $\text{Even}(b) \wedge (b > 4)$, we know $\neg \text{Prime}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Prime}(b) \wedge \text{Prime}(b) = \mathbf{c}$.
- Case 2: $c + b = 1, c - b = a^2$. In this case, we have $2b = (1 - a^2) \Rightarrow b = \frac{1 - a^2}{2}$. Since $\text{Prime}(a)$, i.e., $a \geq 2$, we know $a^2 \geq 4$ and $-a^2 \leq -4$. Then, $b = \frac{1 - a^2}{2} \leq \frac{1 - 4}{2} = \frac{-3}{2}$. Then, b is negative, which of course means $\neg \text{Prime}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Prime}(b) \wedge \text{Prime}(b) = \mathbf{c}$.
- Case 3: $c + b = a, c - b = a$. In this case, we have $b = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means $\neg \text{Prime}(b)$. Then, $\neg \text{Prime}(b) \wedge \text{Prime}(b) = \mathbf{c}$.

Therefore, the supposition “ $\neg P$ is true” is false, and P is true. That is, for all prime numbers a, b , and $c, a^2 + b^2 \neq c^2$.

- (c) If a and b are rational numbers, $b \neq 0$, and r is an irrational number, then $a + br$ is irrational.

✓

Define $Q(x)$ as x is a rational number

$$P : \quad \forall a, b, r \in \mathbb{R}, Q(a) \wedge Q(b) \wedge (b \neq 0) \wedge \neg Q(r) \rightarrow \neg Q(a + br)$$

Negation

$$\neg P : \quad \exists a, b, r \in \mathbb{R}, Q(a) \wedge Q(b) \wedge (b \neq 0) \wedge \neg Q(r) \wedge Q(a + br)$$

Proof by contradiction.

Suppose $\neg P$ is true. As $\exists a, b, r \in \mathbb{R}, Q(a) \wedge Q(b) \wedge (b \neq 0) \wedge Q(a + br)$. Then, based on the definition of rational, we have

$$a = \frac{u}{v} \text{ where } u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } v \neq 0.$$

$$b = \frac{s}{t} \text{ where } s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } t \neq 0. \text{ Because } b \neq 0, \text{ we have } s \neq 0.$$

$$a + br = \frac{x}{y} \text{ where } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } y \neq 0.$$

By substitution, we have $\frac{u}{v} + r \cdot \frac{s}{t} = \frac{x}{y}$, i.e., $r \cdot \frac{s}{t} = \frac{x}{y} - \frac{u}{v} = \frac{x \cdot v - y \cdot u}{y \cdot v}$. Then,

$$r = \frac{t(x \cdot v - y \cdot u)}{s \cdot y \cdot v}$$

Because $x, y, u, v, t \in Z$, we have $t(x \cdot v - y \cdot u) \in Z$. Also because $s, y, v \in Z$, we have $s \cdot y \cdot v \in Z$. As $s \neq 0, y \neq 0, v \neq 0$, we have $s \cdot y \cdot v \neq 0$. As a result, based on the definition of ration, we have $Q(r)$. Then, $\neg Q(r) \wedge Q(r) = \mathbf{c}$.

Therefore, the supposition “ $\neg P$ is true” is false, and P is true. That is, if a and b are rational numbers, $b \neq 0$, and r is an irrational number, then $a + br$ is irrational.

- (d) For any integer n , $n^2 - 2$ is not divisible by 4.

✓

Denote $a|b$ as $b \in Z$ is divisible by $a \in Z$, i.e, $b = a \cdot k$ for some $k \in Z$.

$$P : \quad \forall n, n \in Z \rightarrow \neg(4|(n^2 - 2))$$

Negation

$$\neg P : \quad \exists n, n \in Z \wedge 4|(n^2 - 2)$$

Proof by contradiction.

Suppose $\neg P$ is true. As $\exists n \in Z, 4|(n^2 - 2)$, based on the definition of $a|b$, we know $n^2 - 2 = 4 \cdot k$ for some $k \in Z$. Then, $n^2 = 4k + 2 = 2^2(\frac{2k+1}{2})$, and we have

$$n = \pm 2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2k+1}{2}}$$

As $2k + 1$ is odd, $\neg(2|(2k + 1))$, i.e, $2k + 1$ is not divisible by 2. Therefore, $n = \pm 2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2k+1}{2}}$ is not an integer, i.e., $n \notin Z$. Then, $(n \notin Z) \wedge (n \in Z) = \mathbf{c}$.

Therefore, the supposition “ $\neg P$ is true” is false, and P is true. That is, for any integer n , $n^2 - 2$ is not divisible by 4.

- (e) The negative of any irrational number is irrational.

✓

Define $Q(x)$ as x is an rational number

$$P : \quad \forall x \in R, \neg Q(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(-x)$$

Negation

$$\neg P : \quad \exists x \in R, \neg Q(x) \wedge Q(-x)$$

Contrapositive

$$CP : \quad \forall x \in R, Q(-x) \rightarrow Q(x)$$

Proof by contradiction.

Suppose $\neg P$ is true. As $\exists x \in R, Q(-x)$, based on the definition of rational, we have

$-x = \frac{a}{b}$, for $a, b \in Z$ and $b \neq 0$. Then, by algebra, we have

$$x = -(-x) = -\frac{a}{b} = \frac{-a}{b}.$$

Because $a \in Z$, we have $-a \in Z$ from $-a = (-1) \cdot a$. Also, $b \in Z$ and $b \neq 0$, based on the definition of rational, we have x is a rational number, i.e., $Q(x)$. Then, $Q(x) \wedge \neg Q(x) = \mathbf{c}$.

Therefore, the supposition “ $\neg P$ is true” is false, and P is true. That is, the negative of any irrational number is irrational.

Proof by contraposition.

$$CP : \quad \forall x \in R, Q(-x) \rightarrow Q(x) \quad \equiv P : \quad \forall x \in R, \neg Q(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(-x)$$

As $\forall x \in R, Q(-x)$, based on the definition of rational, we have $-x = \frac{a}{b}$ for some $a, b \in Z$ and $b \neq 0$. Then, by algebra, we have

$$x = -(-x) = -\frac{a}{b} = \frac{-a}{b}.$$

Because $a \in Z$, we have $-a \in Z$ from $-a = (-1) \cdot a$. Also, $b \in Z$ and $b \neq 0$, based on the definition of rational, we have x is a rational number, i.e., $Q(x)$.

Because CP is true, and $CP \equiv P$. Therefore, P is also true. That is, the negative of any irrational number is irrational.

- (f) For every integer n , if n^2 is odd then n is odd.

✓

$$P : \quad \forall n \in Z, Odd(n^2) \rightarrow Odd(n).$$

Negation

$$\neg P : \quad \exists n \in Z, Odd(n^2) \wedge \neg Odd(n).$$

Contrapositive

$$CP : \quad \forall n \in Z, \neg Odd(n) \rightarrow \neg Odd(n^2).$$

Proof by contradiction.

Suppose $\neg P$ is true. As $\exists n \in Z, \neg Odd(n)$, we know $Even(n)$. Based on the definition of even, we have $n = 2k$ for some $k \in Z$. Then

$$n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2 \times 2k^2.$$

Let $m = 2k^2$, it is easy to know $m \in Z$. Then $n^2 = 2m$, based on the definition of even, we know $Even(n^2)$. Then, $Even(n^2) \wedge \neg Odd(n^2) = \mathbf{c}$.

Therefore, the supposition “ $\neg P$ is true” is false, and P is true. That is, for every integer n , if n^2 is odd then n is odd.

Proof by contraposition.

$$CP : \quad \forall n \in Z, \neg Odd(n) \rightarrow \neg Odd(n^2) \quad \equiv P : \quad \forall n \in Z, Odd(n^2) \rightarrow Odd(n).$$

As $\forall n \in Z, \neg Odd(n)$, we know $Even(n)$. Then, based on the definition of even, we have $n = 2k$ for some $k \in Z$.

Then,

$$n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2 \times 2k^2.$$

Let $m = 2k^2$, it is easy to know $m \in Z$. Then $n^2 = 2m$, based on the definition of even, we know $Even(n^2)$.

Because CP is true, and $CP \equiv P$. Therefore, P is also true. That is, for every integer n , if n^2 is odd then n is odd.